

GEOLOGICAL GEOTECHNICAL GEOENVIRONMENTAL DATA AQUISITION CONSULTANCY



Gas Monitoring Addendum Report

LOCATION	Proposed Residential Developments, Land at Hemlington, Middlesbrough TS8 9DE
ISSUE DATE	October 2018
FOR	Middlesbrough Council
CLIENT REF.	PO 8094369
OUR REF.	G18222b

Prepared by

Fuelty

Findley Mostyn BSc (Hons) Geo-environmental Engineer

Checked by

Kmillword

Kelly Millward BSc (Hons) MSc FGS Geo-environmental Engineer



Table of Contents

Contents

1.Final Gas Monitoring Results	3
Table 1 Summary of Initial Gas Monitoring Data	3
2.Review of sources and ground conditions encountered.	3
2.1 Review of sources	3
2.2 Review of ground conditions encountered	4
3.CL:AIRE Research Bulletin 17	4
Table A1: Application of approach to common scenarios	5
4. Gas Risk Conclusions	6
5. Gas Risk considerations	6
Table 2: Building types for site classification as per BS 8485:2015	6
Table 3: Gas protection scoring by CS level and building type	7
Table D: Gas protection measures for low-rise housing development based upon NHBC Traffic Light system	10



Units 4 and 5 Terry Dicken Industrial Estate Ellerbeck Way Stokesley North Yorkshire TS9 7AE

Tel. 01642 713779 Fax 01642713923 Email enquiries@geoinvestigate.co.uk



1.Final Gas Monitoring Results

Six (6) gas monitoring visits to three monitoring installations at the above site were carried out by Geoinvestigate as part of the phase 2 site investigation (G18222b).

The initial results of gas monitoring in the shallow boreholes at the site are presented in Table 1 below.

Table 1 Summary of Initial Gas Monitoring Data

Job Number	G18222b
Client	Middlesbrough Council
Site	Land at Hemlington North, Middlesbrough TS8 9DE

	CH4 (%)	CO ₂ (%)	O ₂ (%)
Minimum	0.00	1.10	16.9
Maximum	0.00	2.50	19.90

Porobolo	Number of	CH ₄ (%)		CO ₂ (%)		O ₂ (%)		Flow Pato (1/br)	Atmospheric
BUIENDIE	Visits	Minimum	Maximum	Minimum	Maximum	Minimum	Maximum	FIOW Rate (I/III)	Pressure (mb)
BH3		0	0	1.2	2.5	16.9	19.4	<0.1	1004
BH5	6	0	0	1.1	1.7	18.2	19.7	<0.1	to
BH8		0	0	1.3	2.4	19	19.9	<0.1	1024

The results of gas levels recorded at the site at pressures of between 1004mb and 1024mb returned levels of O_2 between 16.9% and 19.9%, with a CO_2 content between 1.1% and 2.5% and CH_4 content below detectable levels. Gas flow rates were below detectable limits (<0.01lt/hr) on each monitoring occasion.

On the basis of the of the above gas results, the sections below will review the potential risk to site and ground conditions encountered. This information will be utilised with CL:AIRE Research Bulletin 17 to provide an comprehensive overview of the gas risk and associated classification for the site.

2. Review of sources and ground conditions encountered.

2.1 Review of sources

It was considered that the most likely source of hazardous gas to the site would be as a result of made ground associated with on-site and near-site residential developments.

The extract of the 1:50,000 Solid & Drift geological map (BGS Sheet 33 – Stockton) indicates the site to be underlain by superficial deposits of Devensian Till (Diamicton) with a bedrock geology of Mercia Mudstone Group.

No BGS borehole records exist within the site however the closest borehole log records ~100m to the east, south and southwest suggest that up to 10m of sandy gravelly clay (boulder clay) might be present, with records ~350m to the west and northwest reporting silty clay and occasionally clay with organic inclusions at shallow depths.

The area is not considered within a coal mining or non-coal mining area and the site is not within close proximity (100m) to landfills or other gas generating industry. No peat or alluvium soils are considered to underlie the site.

2.2 Review of ground conditions encountered Made ground

- Made ground has been encountered throughout the site, largely comprising clay fill and generally extending to depths of between 0.40m and 1.30m.
- An area of deeper made ground has been encountered at BH3 and CP1 which has been found to extend to 2.20m and 1.90m in those two boreholes respectively.
- Slightly organic softer horizons were noted at the base of the made ground at BH2, BH3 and BH5, identified as a possible buried topsoil horizon at BH5. Consequently, ground gas monitoring well were installed at the site and a gas monitoring exercise instigated.

Natural Underlying Strata

GEO

- Firm to stiff, stiff or very stiff sandy gravelly clay (boulder clay) has been encountered at all borehole locations to their full depth with the exception of BH2 which encountered medium dense sandy gravel below 3.10m.
- The deeper cable percussion borehole CP1 encountered a loose to medium dense wet sand horizon from 5.80m to 6.10m. Standing water in the borehole subsequently rose from this depth to 4.70m BGL.
- All other boreholes remained open and dry.

3.CL:AIRE Research Bulletin 17

A research bulletin posted by Contaminated Land – Applications in Real Environments (CL:AIRE) has reviewed and presented potential scope for sites that can be considered low risk and therefore require no to limited gas testing.

It considers that many sites, including sites in Radon risk areas, are likely to have Radon measures or air tight construction has led to measures that are likely to provide good measures against ground gas ingress. This is specific to sites that may have no sources, assumptions can be made about protection measures or small volumes of ground gas present in soil pores.

Assumptions are made for sites that have less need for monitoring include sites with high carbonate natural soils, organic soils with potential methane content that may be slow to be released (eg peat and alluvium), made ground with low organic content, and mine workings flooded or abandoned in the early 20th century.

The report does make mention that made ground values exceeding 6% Total Organic Carbon and sites where shallow mine workings exist at the surface or where the site is within 20m of a shaft or adit would need monitoring. The bulletin provides the table to indicate requirements for gas testing based on common scenarios. The scenario most likely to fit with the current site is highlighted:

Table A1: Application of approach to common scenarios

GEO INVESTIGATE

Scenario and source of ground	Gas monitoring	Gas protection
gas		
Received as London Clay Marsia		
Ground eg London Clay, Mercia	X	X
Mudstone, Lias Clay, Chaik,	••	••
Gault Clay of Glacial Till.		
Natural soils with no Made		
Ground. In an area where radon	~	
protection is required.		Gas/radon measures required
Natural soils with low organic		
content. Less than 1m of Made		
ground that comprises general		
Infill and car park construction	X	X
materials eg Made ground over	• •	• •
London Clay, Mercia Mudstone,		
Classical Till		
Natural calls with high organic		
content Loss than 1m of Made		
ground that comprises general		
infill and car park construction		
materials of Alluvium Post over	X	✓
natural soils such as London		•
Clay Mercia Mudstone Lias		CS3 Gas measures required
Clay, Mercia Mudistone, Elas,		
Till		
Natural Soils with low organic		
content and 1m to 5m of Made		
Ground (average $<3m$) that		
compromises general infill and		2
car park construction materials.	X	
TOC is less than 6% eg Made		•
Ground over London Clay,		Determine gas protection using
Mercia Mudstone, Lias Clay,		TOC of Made Ground and Table
Chalk , Gault Clay or Glacial Till.		2
Old landfill with 6m of older		0
refuse material. Identified as	\checkmark	
old on historical maps.	Determine TOC content and	•
	use gas generation modelling	To be determined from gas
	to assist with the interpretation	monitoring data
	of results	
Old Mine workings that were	•	•
abandoned before the early 20 th		
century.	•	•
	To be determined based on	
	preliminary conceptual model	
	using desk study data	
Glacial drift deposits over Coal		
Measures strata with no former	X	X
mine workings.	• •	• •

5

4. Gas Risk Conclusions

GEO

Given there was no likelihood of highly organic soils at site, gas generating industry and landfills/mining however there was made ground upto 3.00m in thickness, there is a potential for hazardous gas to exist at site. Therefore, six (6) gas monitoring visits were conducted at site that based this gas data and information as provided by CL:AIRE, the could be classed as "Characteristic Situation 1" of the Modified Wilson and Card classification or "Green" of the NHBC Traffic Light System for low rise housing with a ventilated under-floor void (min 150mm) (CIRIA C665.)

Consequently, on the basis of these results, NO gas measures according to the above characterisation should be incorporated into the construction of the new buildings at the site. Please see illustrative tables/descriptions of common measures below for classification and associated measures for the above characterisation schemes. Please note that these tables are illustrative and further information can be found in BRE 414 and BS8485:2015.

5. Gas Risk considerations

New guidance as provided by BS8485: 2015 has indicated a new system of design and implementation of gas measures suitable for a site. The new guidance works to highlight a risk to site through both the sensitivity of the receptors and risk associated with potential sources of hazardous ground gas. In this instance, it is likely considered that the site would be considered as a Type A as shown in table 3 below.

	High Risk		Medium Risk	Low Risk
	Type A Building	Type B Building	Type C Building	Type D Building
Ownership	Private	Private or commercial/public, possible multiple	Commercial/public	Commercial/public
Control (change of use, structural alterations, ventilation)	None	Some but not all	Full	Full
Room sizes	Small	Small/medium	Small to large	Large industrial/retail park style

Table 2: Building types for site classification as per BS 8485:2015

Using the classification as per buildings types above (table 2), BS8485:2015 has incorporated a scoring system dependent on type in order to select the appropriate measures for hazardous gas implementation measures. This scoring system works by selecting different measures including structural barriers, ventilation measures and gas resistant membranes which are graded separately within the points system. In order to ensure sufficient measures are in place; one measure is normally selected from each category (see tables for gas protection) and should be equal to or more then the points required as per its building type and CS value (see table 3 below).



Table 3: Gas protection scoring by CS level and building type

	Minimum gas protection score (points)						
CS	High Risk		Medium Risk	Low Risk			
	Type A Building	Type B Building	Type C Building	Type D Building			
CS1	0	0	0	0			
CS2	3.5	3.5	2.5	1.5			
CS3	4.5	4	3	2.5			
CS4	6.5	5.5	4.5	3.5			
CS5	-	6.5	5.5	4.5			
CS6	-	-	7.5	6.5			



Table A gas protection scores for ventilation protection measures

Protection element/system	Score	Comments
 (a) Pressure relief pathway (usually formed of low fines gravel or with a thin geocomposite blanket or strips terminating in a gravel trench external to the building) 	0.5	Whenever possible a pressure relief pathway (as a minimum) should be installed in all gas protection measures systems. If the layer has a low permeability and/or is not terminated in a venting trench (or similar), then the score is zero.
 (b) Passive sub floor dispersal layer: Very good performance: Good performance: Media used to provide the dispersal layer are: Clear void Polystyrene void former blanket Geocomposite void former blanket No-fines gravel layer with gas drains No-fines gravel layer 	2.5 1.5	Performance criteria for methane and carbon dioxide are shown in Figure B.6 and Figure B.7, respectively. The ventilation effectiveness of different media depends on a number of different factors including the transmissivity of the medium, the width of the building, the side ventilation spacing and type and the thickness of the layer. The selected score should be assigned taking into account the recommendations in Annex B. Passive ventilation should be designed to meet at least "good performance", see Annex B
(c) Active dispersal layer, usually comprising fans with active abstraction (suction) from a subfloor dilution layer, with roof level vents. The dilution layer may comprise a clear void or be formed of geocomposite or polystyrene void formers	1.5 to 2.5	This system relies on continued serviceability of the pumps, therefore alarm and response systems should be in place. There should be robust management systems in place to ensure the continued maintenance of the system, including pumps and vents. Active ventilation should always be designed to meet at least "good performance", as described in Annex B.
(d) Active positive pressurization by the creation of a blanket of external fresh air beneath the building floor slab by pumps supplying air to points across the central footprint of the building into a permeable layer, usually formed of a thin geocomposite blanket	1.5 to 2.5	This system relies on continued operation of the pumps, therefore alarm and response systems should be in place. The score assigned should be based on the efficient "coverage" of the building footprint and the redundancy of the system. Active ventilation should always be designed to meet at least "good performance".
(e) Ventilated car park (floor slab of occupied part of the building under consideration is underlain by a basement or undercroft car park)	4	Assumes that the car park is vented to deal with car exhaust fumes, designed to <i>Buildings Regulations 2000, Approved Document F</i> [9].



Table B gas protection scores for the structural barrier

Floor and substructure design (see Annex A)	Score
Precast suspended segmental subfloor (i.e. beam and block)	0
Cast in situ ground-bearing floor slab (with only nominal mesh reinforcement)	0.5
Cast in situ monolithic reinforced ground bearing raft or reinforced cast in situ suspended floor slab with minimal penetrations 1 or 1.5	1 or 1.5
Basement floor and walls conforming to BS 8102:2009, Grade 2 waterproofing ^{C)}	2
Basement floor and walls conforming to BS 8102:2009, Grade 3 waterproofing ^{C)}	2.5
 A) The scores are conditional on breaches of floor slabs, etc., being effectively sealed. B) To achieve a score of 1.5 the raft or suspended slab should be well reinforced to control cracking and have minimal penetrations cast in (see A.2.2.2). C) The score is conditional on the waterproofing not being based on the use of a geosynthetic clay liner waterproofing product (see C.3, Note 4). 	

Table C gas protection score for the gas resistant membrane

Protection element/system	Score	Comments
 Gas resistant membrane meeting all of the following criteria: sufficiently impervious to the gases with a methane gas transmission rate <40.0 ml/day/m2/atm (average) for sheet and joints (tested in accordance with BS ISO 15105-1 manometric method); sufficiently durable to remain serviceable for the anticipated life of the building and duration of gas emissions; sufficiently strong to withstand in-service stresses (e.g. settlement if placed below a floor slab); sufficiently strong to withstand the installation process and following trades until covered (e.g. penetration from steel fibres in fibre reinforced concrete, penetration of reinforcement ties, tearing due to working above it, dropping tools, etc); capable, after installation, of providing a complete barrier to the entry of the relevant gas; and verified in accordance with CIRIA C735 [N1] 	2	The performance of membranes is heavily dependent on the quality and design of the installation, resistance to damage after installation and integrity of joints. For example, a minimum 0.4 mm thickness (equivalent to 370 g/m2 for polyethelene) reinforced membrane (virgin polymer) meets the performance criteria in Table 7 (see C.3). If a membrane is installed that does not meet all the criteria in column 1 then the score is zero.



Table D: Gas protection measures for low-rise housing development based upon NHBC Traffic Light	
system	

Traffic Light Classification	Protection measures required
Green	Negligible gas regime identified and gas protection measures are not
	considered necessary.
Amber 1	Low to intermediate gas regime identified, which requires low – level gas
	protection measures, comprising a membrane and ventilated sub-floor void
	to create a permeability contrast to limit the ingress of gas into buildings.
	Gas protection measures should be prescribed as per BRE Report 414.
	Ventilation of sub – floor void should facilitate a minimum of one complete
	volume change per 24 hours.
Amber 2	Intermediate to high gas regime identified, which requires high – level gas
	protection measures, comprising a membrane and ventilated sub-floor void
	to create a permeability contrast to limit the ingress of gas into buildings.
	Gas protection measures should be prescribed as per BRE Report 414. Gas
	membranes should always be fitted by a specialist contractor. As with
	Amber 1, Ventilation of sub – floor void should facilitate a minimum of one
	complete volume change per 24 hours. Certification that these measures
	have been installed correctly should be provided.
Red	High gas regime identified. It is considered that standard residential housing
	would not be normally acceptable without a further gas irsk assessment
	and/or possible remedial mitigation measures to reduce and/or remove the
	source of gas.

*Table is for illustration only; please see BRE 414 for more information on designing appropriate measures. (BRE 414 - Protective measures for housing on gas-contaminated land)

-END OF REPORT



GEO



Units 4 and 5 Terry Dicken Industrial Estate Ellerbeck Way Stokesley North Yorkshire TS9 7AE Tel. 01642 713779 Fax 01642713923 Email enquiries@geoinvestigate.co.uk



APPENDIX 1 SITE PLAN







<u>APPENDIX 2</u> COMPLETE GAS MONITORING RESULTS



Job Number G18222b								
Client	Middlesbrough Council							
Site	Land at Hemlington North, Middlesbrough TS8 9DE							
Instrument	GFM 406 + 410							

Key	
WL	Water logged
BDL	Below detectable levels
NB	No Bung
WD/I	Well destroyed/inaccessible

Monitoring personal MB Date 02/07/2018			Weather Sunny	Temperature	22	22 Starting pr			ressure 1024			
					Carbon		Water		Volume	Differential	Hydrogen	Carbon
Monitoring	Flow range	Atmospheric	Methane		dioxide %	Oxygen %	level	Depth of	of gas in	Pressure	Sulphide	Monoxide
point	(l/hr)	pressure	% (v/v)	Methane % LEL	(v∕v)	(\/\)	(mbgl)	well (m)	well (m3)	(pa)	(ppm)	(ppm)
BH3	<0.1	1026	0	0	1.2	18.8				0	0	0
BH5	<0.1	1024	0	0	2.3	19.4				0	0	0
BH8	<0.1	1026	0	0	2.4	19.3				0	0	0

Monitoring personal MB Date 11/07/2018		Weather Sunny		Temperature	22		Starting pr	ressure	1017			
Monitoring point	Flow range (l/hr)	Atmospheric pressure	Methane % (v/v)	Methane % LEL	Carbon dioxide % (v/v)	Oxygen % (√v)	Water level (mbgl)	Depth of well (m)	Volume of gas in well (m3)	Differential Pressure (pa)	Hydrogen Sulphide (ppm)	Carbon Monoxide (ppm)
BH3	<0.1	1017	0	0	2.5	19				0	0	0
BH5	<0.1	1017	0	0	1.7	19.4				0	0	0
BH8	<0.1	1018	0	0	2.4	19				0	0	0

Monitoring personal FM Date 13/07/2018		Weather Warm/ Cloudy		Temperature	18		Starting pi	essure 1015				
Monitoring point	Flow range (l/hr)	Atmospheric pressure	Methane % (v/v)	Methane % LEL	Carbon dioxide % (v/v)	Oxygen % (v/v)	Water level (mbgl)	Depth of well (m)	Volume of gas in well (m3)	Differential Pressure (pa)	Hydrogen Sulphide (ppm)	Carbon Monoxide (ppm)
BH3	<0.1	1017	0	0	1.4	18.2				0	0	0
BH5	<0.1	1018	0	0	1.8	19.8				0	0	0
BH8	<0.1	1015	0	0	2.3	19.4				0	0	0



Monitoring personal MB Date 27/07/2018			2018	Weather Sunny	Temperature 22			Starting pi	ressure	1008		
Monitoring point	Flow range (l/hr)	Atmospheric pressure	Methane % (v/v)	Methane % LEL	Carbon dioxide % (v/v)	Oxygen % (v/v)	Water level (mbgl)	Depth of well (m)	Volume of gas in well (m3)	Differential Pressure (pa)	Hydrogen Sulphide (ppm)	Carbon Monoxide (ppm)
BH3	<0.1	1007	0	0	1.2	18.2				0	0	0
BH5	<0.1	1008	0	0	1.1	19.7				0	0	0
BH8	<0.1	1007	0	0	2.1	19.5				0	0	0

Monitoring personal MB Date 13/08/2018		Weather Sunny		Temperature	rature 21		Starting pressure		1004			
Monitoring point	Flow range (l/hr)	Atmospheric pressure	Methane % (v/v)	Methane % LEL	Carbon dioxide % (v/v)	Oxygen % (v/v)	Water level (mbgl)	Depth of well (m)	Volume of gas in well (m3)	Differential Pressure (pa)	Hydrogen Sulphide (ppm)	Carbon Monoxide (ppm)
BH3	<0.1	1004	0	0	1.5	17.3				0	0	0
BH5	<0.1	1004	0	0	1.7	19				0	0	0
BH8	<0.1	1004	0	0	1.3	19.9				0	0	0

Monitoring p	Monitoring personal MB Date 13/09/2018		Weather Cloudy		Temperature	re 15		Starting pressure		1015		
Monitoring point	Flow range (l/hr)	Atmospheric pressure	Methane % (v/v)	Methane % LEL	Carbon dioxide % (v/v)	Oxygen % (v/v)	Water level (mbgl)	Depth of well (m)	Volume of gas in well (m3)	Differential Pressure (pa)	Hydrogen Sulphide (ppm)	Carbon Monoxide (ppm)
BH3	<0.1	1015	0	0	2	16.9				0	0	0
BH5	<0.1	1015	0	0	1.4	19.1				0	0	0
BH8	<0.1	1015	0	0	1.4	19.4				0	0	0